	Case 2:24-cv-02159-DJC-CSK Documer	nt 11 Filed 09/20/24 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	NICHOLAS RAYMOND PROUT,	No. 2:24-cv-2159 CSK P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER & FINDINGS &	
14	UNKNOWN,	<u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>	
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	By order filed August 15, 2024, plaintiff was granted thirty days to file a complaint and an		
18	application to proceed in forma pauperis. (ECF No. 8.) Thirty days from that date have now		
19	passed, and plaintiff has not filed a complaint or an application to proceed in forma pauperis.		
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk shall assign a district judge to		
21	this action.		
22	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See		
23	Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).		
24	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge		
25	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days		
26	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections		
27	with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned		
28	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that		
		1	

	Case 2:24-cv-02159-DJC-CSK Document 11 File	d 09/20/24	Page 2 of 2		
1	failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District				
2	Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir.	Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).			
3	3				
4		o - 0			
5	5	Mi S	po Ki		
6		SOO KIM TED STATE	S MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
7					
8	3				
9	Pr2159.fta				
10) 2				
11	1				
12	2				
13	3				
14	1				
15	5				
16	5				
17	7				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	2				